Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/081,333	RILEY, LORRI
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Susan T. Tran	1615
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1), <u>Susan T. Tran</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>J. David Wharton</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 9 October 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summare 	e examiner will provide a writter record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
•		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Possessatative Ci	anatura if annessista
(Applicant	/Applicant's Representative Si	упацие – п арргорпаце)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: since the 112, first and second rejections have been withdrawn in view of applicant's argument (see remarks filed 07/31/06), to place the application in condition for allowance, it was suggested to: 1)include the percent amounts of all of the ingredients; and incorporate claim 19 into all indepent claims. Applicant's attorney authorized the Examiner's Amendment.